



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Isao Mochizuki 10/629,608 07/30/2003 116628 6126 **EXAMINER** 7590 25944 06/12/2006 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC CHOW, DOON Y P.O. BOX 19928 PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320 2629

DATE MAILED: 06/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/629,608	MOCHIZUKI ET AL.
<b>P</b>	A . 4 1 1 ! 4
Examiner	Art Unit

	Dennis-Doon Chow	2629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 26 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion following time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid ab offidavit, or other evidence of the compliance with 37 Compliance	ence, which CFR 41.31; or		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing depth b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILEI	OWTHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. tutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expenses a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS.	ktension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.		
AMENDMENTS	host muion to the data of filing a buic	f will mat be entared l			
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a)  They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in beto	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);			
appeal; and/or	to rotti to appoal by materially to	saasiiig or oiiiipiiiyiiig			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).				
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li> </ul>	:				
the non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	oxtimes will not be entered, or b) $oxtimes$ w	•	_		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		• •			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented.	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).		
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after (	entry is below or attac	hed.		
11  The request for reconsideration has been considered by	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).  Dennis-Doon Cho	Men.		
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2629			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitations in claims 1 and 3 raise new issues which would require further consideration and search.

DENNIS-DOON CHOW PRIMARY EXAMINER